

Non-Examination Assessment Policy 2023/24

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations.

Reviewed by	P Mills
Approved by	R Bakewell
Date of next review	September 2024

Key staff involved in the conduct of non-examination assessments

Role	Name(s)
Head of Centre	R Bakewell
Exams Officer	ТВА
Quality Nominee	Craig Hughes
Lead Internal Verifiers	T Sissons, N Holness, J Smith, Craig Hughes

SLT member(s)	R Bakewell, G Patel, P Coleby, A Dowe, M Tully, S Biggs, P Mills
SENCo	Steve Parkes

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication <u>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</u>, Foreword]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as <u>NEA</u>

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment.

[NEA 1]

What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking.

[NEA 1]

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments

Roles and Responsibilities

Head of Centre

- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

▶ Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update to confirm awareness of and that relevant centre staff are adhering to the latest version of <u>NEA</u>

Senior Leaders

- ► Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- ► Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality Nominee

- Confirm with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- ► Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- ► Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Lead Internal Verifiers

- Ensure subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- ► Ensure <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Work with the Quality Nominee to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject Teachers

- Understand and comply with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understand and comply with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Mark internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- ► Ensure the Exams Officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams Officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject Teachers

- Select tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR design tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Make candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject Teachers

- Determine when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identify date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Access set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- ► Ensure requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between
- Are confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.

Task Taking: Supervision

Subject Teachers

- ► Check the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensure there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensure there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- ▶ Where candidates may work in groups, keep a record of each candidate's contribution
- ► Ensure candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- ► Ensure candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or outlines/headings specific to the task

Task Taking: Advice and Feedback

Subject Teachers

- As relevant to the subject/component, advise candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- ▶ When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provide oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- ► Record any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- ▶ Ensure when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject Teachers

- Refer to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensure conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensure conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensure candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- ▶ Ensure that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.
- ► Ensure appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically

Word and Time Limits

Subject Teachers

 Refer to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and Group Work

Subject Teachers

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allow candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensure that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensure that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assess the work of each candidate individually

Authentication Procedures

Subject Teachers

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensure candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - sign the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keep signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provide signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector

Non-Examination Assessment Policy (2023/24)

Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follow the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and inform a member of the Senior Leadership Team

Presentation of Work

Subject Teachers

- ▶ Obtain informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- ▶ Instruct candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- ► Instruct candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping Materials Secure

Subject Teachers

- ▶ When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensure work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- ▶ When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensure work is securely stored
- ► Follow secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- ▶ Take sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Store internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for reviews of results or until the outcome of a review or any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Remind candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (the JCQ document Information for candidates – social media should be brought to the attention of candidates)

IT Manager

► Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

Task Marking: Conduct of Externally Assessed Work

Subject Teacher

- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- ▶ Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams Officer

Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification

Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations

Task Marking: Submission of work

Subject Teacher

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Exams Officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- ► Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- ▶ Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task Marking: Marking and Annotation

Head of Centre

► Ensures where a teacher teaches his/her own child, a conflict of interest is declared to the awarding body and the marked work of the child submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not

Head of Department/Lead Internal Verifier

Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject Teacher

- Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- ► Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- ▶ Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale indicated in the centre's *internal appeals* procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Task Marking: Internal Standardisation

Quality Nominee/Senior Leaders

- ► Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
 - b obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - retaining work and evidence of standardisation

Subject Teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Task Marking: Consortium Arrangements

Head of Department/Lead Internal Verifier

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- ▶ If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the awarding body is notified by submission of the Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work (including Spoken Language Endorsements, GCSE English Language) for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject Teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Exams Officer

- Where the centre is the consortium lead
 - submits the notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work via the awarding body's Centre Admin Portal (CAP) to the deadline for each exam series affected
 - submits marks to the awarding body deadline

 liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

Task Marking: Submission of Marks and Work for Moderation

Subject Teacher

- ▶ Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- ➤ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- ▶ Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested

Exams Officer

Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline

- ▶ Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- ► Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and Retention of Work After Submission of Marks

Subject Teacher

► Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample

- ▶ Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- ► Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams Officer

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External Moderation: The Process

Subject Teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- ▶ Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External Moderation: Feedback

Head of Department/Lead Internal Verifier

Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published

Exams Officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access Arrangements

Subject Teacher

Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

SENCo

- ► Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u> <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- ► Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special Consideration and Loss of Work

Subject Teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- ► Refers to/directs relevant staff to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- ▶ Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- ► Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>

 <u>Policies and Procedures</u>
- ▶ Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject Teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'</u> work
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams Officer

► Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies</u> and Procedures to the head of centre

Non-Examination Assessment Policy (2023/24)

- ➤ Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'</u> work to subject heads
- ▶ Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Enquiries About Results

Head of Centre

▶ Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Head of Department

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject Teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the Exams Officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the Exams Officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams Officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post</u>

 Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language

Head of Centre

▶ Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Senior Leader

Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Head of Department

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers

- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ► Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject Teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- ► Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams Officer

► Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

Private Candidates

Head of Department/Lead Internal Verifier

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- ► Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification.

Deadlines and Key Dates

Deadlines and Key Dates for Assessment can be found from JCQ and Awarding Bodies from the links below:

https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/key-dates-and-timetables

https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/support/key-dates.html

<u>Last Entry/Certification Notification for Vocational Qualifications (ocr.org.uk)</u>

https://www.aqa.org.uk/exams-administration/dates-and-timetables/deadlines-for-controlled-assessment

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by Team
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior	
failure/corruption of task details	to start of course	IT
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date	
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	SLT
fails to meet the assessment	information, practice materials etc.	
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting	
specification	arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not understand the	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in	HOD/SLT
marking criteria and what they	the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual	
need to do to gain credit	candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	
during the task setting stage	at key points in the exam cycle	
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	
to candidates undertaking new	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for	HOD/SLT
specification	new specifications	
Specification	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Awarding body set task not issued	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	HOD/SLT
to candidates on time	specification noted prior to start of course	110D/3E1
to candidates on time	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task	
	will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing	
	and teaching	
The wrong task is given to		
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding	
candidates	body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to	HOD/CLT
	candidates	HOD/SLT
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence	
during the issuing of tasks stage	at key points in the exam cycle	HOD/SLT
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	LIV/HOD
other centre or candidate activities	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	
Rooms or facilities inadequate for	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for	LIV/HOD
candidates to take tasks under	the start of the course	
appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number	
	of candidates	
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the	
	same time (exam conditions do not apply)	
Insufficient supervision of	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	LIV/HOD
candidates to enable work to be	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	,
authenticated	and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's	
-	specification in relation to the supervision of candidates	
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as	
	detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	
	actuned in the centre 3 non-examination assessment policy	<u> </u>

A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	HOD/EO
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCO/EO
Advice and feedback		ı
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	HOD/SLT
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	HOD/SLT
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	HOD/SLT
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	HOD/SLT
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	HOD/SLT
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	HOD/SLT
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	HOD/SLT
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	HOD/SLT
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	HOD/SLT

A candidate fails to acknowledge	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources	HOD/SLT
sources on work that is submitted for assessment	etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	TIOD/JEI
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	HOD/SLT
Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	HOD/SLT
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	HOD/SLT
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	HOD/SLT
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	HOD/SLT
Presentation of work Candidate does not fully complete	Cover cheet is checked to encure it is fully completed before secretical	HOD/SLT
the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	HOD/SLI
Keeping materials secure Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	HOD/SLT
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher Task marking – externally assessed of	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	EO/HOD/SLT

A candidate is absent on the day of	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	EO/SLT
the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	EO/SLT
Task marking – internally assessed c	omponents	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	HOD/SLT
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	HOD/SLT
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	HOD/SLT
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	HOD/SLT
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	HOD/SLT
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	HOD/SLT
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	HOD/SLT
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the Exams Officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	HOD/SLT
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	HOD/SLT

Deadline for submitting marks and	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic	EO/HOD/SLT
samples of candidates work	year	
ignored by subject teacher	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines	
	approach	
	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers	
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	
Subject teacher long term absence	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at	HOD/SLT
during the marking period	key points in the exam cycle)	